MEMBERS

ANETTE SMITH-DOHRING Sutter Health Sacramento Sierra Region

CAROL PEPPER-KITTREDGE Sierra College

CHRISTINA NICHOLSON Whole Person Learning

DANIELA DEVITT
California Employers Association

DAVID DeLEONARDIS Crossroads Diversified Services

DAVID FRAZIER Winding Winds Consulting

ERIC COOPER California Indian Manpower Consortium

JASON BUCKINGHAM Golden Sierra Job Training Agency

JOHN TWEEDT District Council 16

KATHLEEN BURRIS CVS Caremark

KEVIN FERREIRA Sacramento – Sierra's Building and Construction Trades Council

KIMBERLY PELLOW Brandman University

LAUREL BRENT-BUMB Bumb Construction

MARCY SCHMIDT

Placer Co. Business Advantage Network

MARGARITA VALENZUELA Unite Here Local 49 Gaming

MARK FRAYSER Department of Rehabilitation

MARTHA ESCOBEDO Employment Development Department

MICHAEL ZIMMERMAN MTI College

PAUL CASTRO California Human Development

RANDY WAGNER Sierra Economic Development Corporation

RICK LARKEY, *Vice Chair*North State Building Industry Foundation

ROBERT WARD IBEW Local 340

SHERRI SPRINGER Happy Kids Preschool & Childcare

STEWART SCHURR Doctor PC

TIM COOK Experience Works

TINK MILLER, *Chair*Placer Independent Resource Services

VIC WURSTEN PRIDE Industries

WILLIAM REED United Domestic Workers

GOLDEN SIERRA WORKFORCE INVESTMENT BOARD REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

Thursday, January 15, 2015 - 1:00 pm

Brandman University 400 Sunrise Avenue, Suite 200 Roseville, CA 95661

Mission: To be the premier provider of business and job seeker services in the region we serve

I. ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

1-2

III. CONSENT AGENDA

All matters listed under the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine in nature and will be approved by one blanket motion.

For Approval

A. Approval revised Minutes from November 20, 2014 3-10 WIB Meeting

Informational

B. Attendance Log 11

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT-FOR THOSE ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

V. <u>APPROVE WIA REVISED 14/15 BUDGET</u> 12-23

VI. <u>APPROVE PROP 39 REVISED BUDGET</u> 24-26

VII. APPROVE DEI ROUND 2 14/15 BUDGET 27-29

VIII. PARTNER PRESENTATION

Vic Wursten; PRIDE Industries

IX. WIOA UPDATE 30-33

X.	STAF	F RECOMMENDATIONS FOR VENDOR LIST	34-38
XI.	SLING	SSHOT UPDATE	39-40
XII.	WIA S	SERVICE PROVIDER PERFORMANCE	
XIII.	WIA S	SERVICE PROVIDER UPDATES	
	•	Alpine County Consortium	
	•	El Dorado County Consortium	
	•	Golden Sierra Job Training Agency	
	•	PRIDE Industries	
XIV.	COMN	MITTEE UPDATES	
	•	Business Services Committee	
	•	Executive & Finance Committees	
	•	Youth Council	
XV.	<u>FUTU</u>	RE AGENDA ITEMS/NEW BUSINESS	
XVI.	NEXT	MEETING – Thursday, March 19, 2015 TBD.	
XVII.	<u>ADJO</u>	<u>URNMENT</u>	

GOLDEN SIERRA WORKFORCE INVESTMENT BOARD REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

Thursday, November 20, 2014, - 1:00 pm

Woodcreek Golf Course (Legends at Woodcreek) 5800 Woodcreek Oaks Blvd. Roseville, CA 95747

I. ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Quorum was established and the meeting was called to order by Larkey, Vice Chair, at 1:12 pm

Resignation announced: Panda Morgan

Present: Rick Larkey, Bob Ward, Carol Pepper-Kittredge, Christina Nicholson,

Daniela Devitt*, David Frazier, Jason Buckingham, John Tweedt, Kevin Ferreira, Laurel Brent-Bumb, Maggie Valenzuela, Marcy Schmidt, Mark Frayser, Martha Escobedo, Michael Zimmerman, Paul Castro, Rangy Wagner,

Stewart Schurr, Tim Cook, Victor Wursten

Absent: Anette Smith-Dohring, David De Leonardis, Eric Cooper, Kathleen Burris,

Kim Pellow, Sherri Springer, Tink Miller, William Reed

Guests: Lorna Magnussen, Traci Cummings, Lynda Webb, Darlene Galipo,

Terrie Trombley, Michael Indiveri, Felicia Buasan, Eric Elberg, George Marley,

Jay Onasch

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion to approve agenda by Brent-Bumb, second by Valenzuela

Motion approved unanimously.

III. CONSENT AGENDA

All matters listed under the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine in nature and will be approved by one blanket motion.

For Approval

- A. Approval revised Minutes from July 17, 2014 WIB Meeting
- B. Approval of Minutes from September 18, 2014 WIB Meeting
- C. Approve Youth Council Applications

Andrea Figueroa – Youth Council Representing Private Sector

^{*} indicates arrival time

<u>Informational</u>

- D. Review of Minutes from October 23, 2014 EC/FC Meeting
- E. Review of Minutes from November 13, 2014 EC Retreat
- F. Attendance Log

Motion to approve consent agenda items A-F by Tweedt, second by Escobedo

Motion approved unanimously.

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT-FOR THOSE ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

None

V. APPROVE WIBD13-03 GAIN ASSESSMENT

Galipo referred to the background information provided on page 26 of the agenda packet relating to this policy. Galipo noted that while GAIN is more costly than CASAS, GAIN has a number of significant advantages over CASAS. A few of those advantages are a fewer number of tests administered to each participant. This saves time in scheduling and delivering of test, and scoring is less on GAIN as it is online; therefore, we will see fewer errors.

Frazier inquired about the pilot period. Galipo said that it was very well received, easier to administer and case managers are utilizing additional features of this tool.

Castro asked if this was online only. Galipo stated that this was both available in paper form and online; however, paper form does create additional time for staff in scoring and data entry. Castro asked what the ratio of paper to online was. Galipo said that she had not collected that information. Castro stated that if we were still utilizing a majority of paper form the cost savings wouldn't be present. Galipo stated that the cost savings would be seen in either paper or online version as there are less tests administered.

Nicholson inquired if the test was available in multiple languages. Galipo believes so; it also allows for other accommodations such as learning disabilities.

Frayser asked if the online testing was proctored. Galipo stated yes.

Motion to approve WIBD13-03 by Valenzuela, second by Frayser

Motion approved unanimously

VI. APPROVE TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Buckingham stated that this is a standard transfer that we request annually as allowable to transfer DW funds to Adult. This is done primarily for ease of serving our participants as the eligibility process is easier for Adult and all DW Clients are eligible for funds under the Adult stream. This request was initially approved by the WIB on July 17, 2014; however, our submission to the state was received too early and our allocation was amended making it necessary for us to submit this updated request.

Motion to approve transfer by Castro, second by Frazier

Motion approved unanimously

VII. PARTNER PRESENTATION FELICIA BUSAN; REPRESENTING DEPARTMENT OF APPRENTICESHIP STANDARDS (DAS)

Larkey introduced and welcomed Felicia Busan of the Division of Apprenticeship Standards.

Busan's slideshow provided the following highlights (available upon request):

- Overview, definitions, purpose and services/programs
- Employer Benefits: instilling loyalty, reducing labor turnover, lowering costs, developing a reservoir of skilled workers, flexible workforce, quality workmanship, participating in successful implementation of affirmative action, and receiving recognition.
- Apprentice Benefits: earn while you learn, pay increases, formalized on-the-job training, classroom training, acquiring competitive skills, VA benefits available to those eligible,
- Community Benefits: wages earners equal tax revenue, and consumer of goods. Upward mobility fosters economic interest.
- How to Start an Apprenticeship Program: 1) Determine your needs; 2) Define the occupation; 3) Identify the educational partners; 4) Establish program standards; and 5) Submit for approval.
- Employer Funding Sources: Traditional Education (Montoya) and Training (Construction Trades/Public Works); Other ETP and WIB
- Targeted Industries: Healthcare, Manufacturing, IT and industries other than Construction
- Statistics and contact information: 600 programs; 500 occupations; 568 apprentices in consortium.

Questions:

Schmidt – do you routinely work with businesses that are part of the union. Busan – we work with both union and private business. Schmidt – can you work with a union employer to get programs added. Elberg – yes.

Pepper-Kittredge – how does the WIB get involved. Buckingham – there are many different ways for the WIB to be involved. Locally our primary goal has been to assist IBEW with the first year of their apprenticeship with tuition and supportive services (tools/clothing). We certainly would like to expand those efforts as there is an increased emphasis nationwide on apprenticeships.

Buckingham – how long do the 5 steps take to become an apprenticeship. Elberg – this varies, and there are too many factors involved to answer this question. Funding source plays a large factor in getting them approved. The trades have continued to move forward; however, non-trade apprenticeships that typically rely on education funding are being approved, but not funded at this time. We have been mandated through legislation to come to the WIBs, and are trying to work with the WIBs on alternative funding sources. We are more advanced in our relationship with Golden Sierra and SETA than some of the other WIBs.

Frazier – how long does it take to get the curriculum approved and in place. Elberg – that is dependent on the Local Education Agency (LEA) [typically K-12 and community college]. The DAS process is pretty straightforward once we receive your request and the LEA curriculum.

Pepper-Kittredge – there has been a lot of talk at the federal level on potential funding. Elberg - \$100 million federal apprenticeship program is anticipated to be released shortly; information and direction is limited at this time. Pepper-Kittredge – from a community college standpoint this may not be practical for LEA without design/startup funding.

Schmidt – you referenced youth; what age do you serve. Elberg – 16 and up based on occupation.

VIII. WIOA UPDATE (BUCKINGHAM)

CWA WIOA OVERVIEW REPORT OUT

Golden Sierra Staff, Executive and Finance Committee members attended; in addition there were EDD, Labor Market Information and Department of Human Services present. 175 attendees at Sacramento session; largest session in our region.

A lot of good information provided. While no solutions were offered, they did point out questions and confusion in the regulation and offered recommendations.

Brent-Bumb stated that there were a lot of best guesses, but anticipates follow up when things are more concrete.

Buckingham stated that the regulations are due to be released on January 22, 2015; once those are released we will have a better idea on next steps.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE RETREAT REPORT OUT

Buckingham stated that the Executive Committee met to discuss how to move forward under WIOA. Buckingham distributed a WIOA Action matrix draft that was used at the retreat.

The dark [green] highlighted sections are things we need to do in the immediate future, lighter [yellow] shading are near future, and unhighlighted is unsure.

- ✓ Designated as a local area; no process defined; recommend writing letter requesting designation. [approval requested in agenda item IX][immediate]
- ✓ One-Stop Operators must be procured and co-located with EDD; language allows for designation with agreement of Chief Local Elected Official (CLEO [Governing Body]) and the Governor; recommend writing letter requesting designation. [approval requested in agenda item X][immediate]
- ✓ Convene Core Partners; Golden Sierra, EDD, Adult Ed, and Department of Rehabilitation; start discussion on how to work together to meet shared goals and performance. These discussions will also include Memorandums of Understanding (MOU) and Cost Sharing Agreements (CSA) required by the law. [immediate]
- ✓ Regional planning; meeting with SETA and Capital Area Investment Zone (CAIZ) [near]
- ✓ Review Service Delivery models; evaluate each site and services provided. [near]
- ✓ Procure vendor services; publish RFQ to establish a list. [approval requested in agenda item XI][immediate]
- ✓ Procure training/one-stop services; prepare to publish RFQ/RFP to establish a list of those qualified/interested.[approval requested in agenda item XI][immediate]

Buckingham stated that this matrix will be a working document and welcomed input.

Larkey expressed that Membership & Structure are two categories that directly affect us, and we will be looking together at how we are comprised. While there are mandatory items in the act we also need to look at other things we may want to have in relationship to the representation and structure. One such discussion occurred at the Executive Committee as it relate to the Youth Council; do we need to keep it in its current structure or evaluate other options/structures. Nicholson added that the Youth Council just held a retreat, and will be reporting that out later in the agenda.

Castro asked Buckingham if he had any information regarding Adult Ed planning as it relates to us. Buckingham stated that he is aware of AB 86 planning occurring in Placer County and Lake Tahoe Community College, but not involved in El Dorado County. Buckingham added that the AB 86 planning may or may not be in alignment with new performance measures set by WIOA for Adult Ed. We have been invited to be more involved in this planning process.

Nicholson inquired when the meetings to review the service delivery will occur, and what committee will be responsible for this. Will there be an opportunity to focus on particular populations. Nicholson believes that there are existing committees that may have interest in these discussions, and would like to know how they can be notified. Buckingham felt that a majority of these discussions will start at the Executive Committee, and as opportunities arise Ad-Hoc committees may be formed. Larkey and Buckingham welcomed Nicholson to attend.

WIA/WIOA BOARD MEMBERSHIP

Magnussen provided a WIA/WIOA matrix outlining existing and future membership requirements.

Highlights:

- ✓ Business membership added in-demand sector language
- ✓ No longer able to serve multiple categories.
- ✓ Uncertain if mandates of SB 293 (organized labor) will carry over to WIOA; pending regulations.
- ✓ Recommend that board give input to Governing Body regarding membership structure beyond mandated.

Larkey suggested developing a process to solicit input from board members for recommended composition.

IX. <u>APPROVE LETTER REQUESTING</u> <u>DESIGNATION AS LOCAL WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AREA</u>

Buckingham stated that letters referenced in agenda items IX & X will be combined into one letter, and signed by Governing Body Chair, WIB Chair and Executive Director.

Motion to approve LWA & OSO letter by Brent-Bumb, second by Nicholson

Motion approved unanimously.

X. <u>APPROVE LETTER REQUESTING</u> DESIGNATION AS ONE-STOP OPERATOR

Action combined with action taken under agenda item IX

XI. APPROVE RELEASE OF RFQ/RFP TO ESTABLISH A VENDOR LIST FOR SERVICES

Motion to approve RFQ/RFP release by Castro, second by Zimmerman

Motion approved unanimously.

XII. STATE PLAN UPDATE

Buckingham stated that he had been approached by the State WIB with a request for our board to move forward with applying for High Performing Board (HPB) designation. Initially we had not applied based on our board composition; however, during the State Plan review process the State WIB completed the HPB review as well. Our score was only 4 points away from passing. The State Board has requested that we review our current scores, and submit additional information in regards to the areas that we could improve on to receive this designation.

This status has recently received more importance. The reason for that importance is that currently the Governor is allowed to hold 8% discretionary funding; initially without the HPB status you were unable to access those funds. That has changed a little. While you do have access to those funds without the HPB status, you receive extra points when applying for those funds if you have the HPB status. In addition, with the introduction of WIOA the Governor's discretionary funding has gone from 8% to 15%.

Buckingham distributed Score Sheet, Golden Sierra's Scores and our response to the State Board. This information was submitted on October 30, 2014. The State Board anticipates reviewing our submission sometime after November 14, 2014. If we don't receive designation in this round I anticipate we will have another opportunity to re-apply.

Sector Strategies

XIII. WIA SERVICE PROVIDER PERFORMANCE

Buckingham stated that he does not have an update at this time due to the state system having difficulty providing our performance outcomes; that has impacted a lot of different things including our ability to use our FutureWorks system to provide dashboards.

XIV. WIA SERVICE PROVIDER UPDATES

Alpine County Consortium (Buckingham)

- new staff providing one-stop services
- currently in discussion regarding the need/use of rapid response funding

El Dorado County Consortium (Webb & Brent-Bumb)

Lynda Webb

- 1,076 one-stop visitors
- 20 workshops

- 2 Employer Forum Marshall Medical & Blue Shield
- Losing 2 WIA staff in South Lake Tahoe; filled one vacancy
- Media/Outreach campaign
- 5 information sessions scheduled for November & December; scheduling 5 more for beginning of the year; anticipate outcome of these efforts as report out at next meeting
- Developing new flyers
- Participated in Cameron Park Showcase

Brent-Bumb

 The EDC2 (Economic Development Corp of El Dorado) is the advisory board to the El Dorado consortium and will be taking an active role in Business Development with new programs.

Golden Sierra Job Training Agency (Galipo)

- Sponsored and participated in 2 job fairs: 1) 10/31/14 Sierra College 63 employers representing over 1,700 jobs; 2) 11/7/14 – Tahoe Regional Job Fair 44 employers 215 job seekers; anticipate higher attendance at spring event; provided 30 job seekers with resume critique assistance
- Golden Sierra and Partners held 10 recruitment events; 24 interviewed; 15 hired
- Increased interest in services and incentives (WEX/OJT)
- Established Business Engagement Team to help develop layoff aversion strategies for the consortium; monthly meetings with partners starting January 2015
- Prop 39 pre-apprenticeship for Placer & El Dorado; classes to begin December 15; 14
 CCC members; building amphitheater; document on film to be used for outreach
- Adult & Dislocated Worker program for Placer County in on track to meet direct training requirements
- Updates on OJT/WEX;
 - Placed Medical Technician and Pharmacy Tech; special thanks and kudos to board member Kathy Burris for her help in placing the Pharmacy Tech with CVS
 - Developing an HR Generalist, IT Support, Customer Service and Loan Program Supervisor
- Youth
 - purchased software program Skills Tutor; goes hand in hand with GAIN
 - o summer youth program; reaching out to employers
 - Facebook presence
 - Youth Resource Guide (YRG); now available and being distributed

PRIDE Industries

- Good enrollment
- Donor dollars increasing for interns
- Creating certifications (sims; electronic repair; wave solder; and logistics)

^{* 2:05} Daniela Devitt arrived

XV. <u>COMMITTEE UPDATES</u>

Business Services Committee

- · Discussing forming a workgroup for projects
- Matrix being finalized and hope to launch next year
- New members are excited and engaged

Executive & Finance Committees

- Retreat reported out previously
- Held a joint Executive/Finance Committee in El Dorado County to discuss enrollments and expenditures; came to an agreement that will allow El Dorado to spend money awarded to them within El Dorado, but also grants us the opportunity to recapture that money in a timeframe that allows us to spend it in the best benefit of the community.

Youth Council

- Retreat yesterday at PRIDE; good attendance and participation
- ✓ Accomplishments; review of Youth Council; Youth Resource Guide (YRG), Youth Resource Clerk position in One-Stops, revamping mission statement and addressing basic skills deficiencies.
- ✓ WIOA; not required, but not prohibited; could be standing committee; overwhelming commitment; emphasized importance of a youth development approach.
 - ✓ Priorities; 1) Housing resource dropdown; 2) Homeless survey to capture more accurate data; 3) Youth Council resource binder; 3) Transportation; 4) revisit TAY Center; and 5) Hold a Youth Resource Provider event in 2015.

XVI. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS/NEW BUSINESS

Budget Approval

Partner Presentation – Vic Wursten/PRIDE Industries

XVII. NEXT MEETING – Thursday, January 15, 2015 at Brandman University.

XVIII. ADJOURNMENT

Motion to adjourn at 2:34 pm by Brent-Bumb, second by Frayser

Motion approved unanimously

Date:	1/16/14	3/20/14	5/15/14	7/17/14	9/18/14	11/20/14	Rate
	Regular	Regular	Regular	Regular	Regular	Regular	Nate
WIB							
Anette Smith-Dohring	1	0	1	1	0	0	50%
Bob Ward			_		0	1	50%
Carol Pepper-Kittredge	1	1	1	0	1	1	83%
Christina Nicholson	0	1	1	1	1	1	83%
Daniela Devitt	1	1	0	1	1	1	83%
Dave DeLeonardis	1	1	1	1	1	0	83%
David Frazier	1	1	1	1	1	1	100%
Eric Cooper	1	1	0	1	1	0	67%
Jason Buckingham	1	0	1	1	1	1	83%
John Tweedt				1	1	1	100%
Kathy Burris	1	0	0	0	0	0	17%
Kevin Ferreira	0	1	1	0	1	1	67%
Kim Pellow	0	1	0	1	1	0	50%
Laurel Brent-Bumb	1	0	0	0	0	1	33%
Maggie Valenzuela	0	1	1	0	0	1	50%
Marcy Schmidt	0	1	0	1	1	1	67%
Mark Frayser				1	1	1	100%
Martha Escobedo	1	1	0	1	1	1	83%
Michael Zimmerman		1	1	1	1	1	83%
Paul Castro	0	1	1	0	1	1	67%
Randy Wagner	0	1	1	1	1	1	83%
Rick Larkey	1	1	1	1	1	1	100%
Sherri Springer	0	0	1	1	0	0	33%
Stewart Schurr				1	1	1	100%
Tim Cook	1	1	1	1	1	1	100%
Tink Miller	1	1	1	1	1	0	83%
Victor Wursten	1	1	1	0	1	1	83%
William Reed	1	0	0	0	0	0	17%
Total	14	16	16	19	21	20	

Golden Sierra Workforce Investment Board

MEMORANDUM

			budget. This budget reflects the
	Resolution	□ Action Item	☐ Information
SUBJ:	WIA Revised Final	14/15 Budget	
FROM:	Jason Buckingham	, GSJTA Executive [Director
TO:	Workforce Investme	ent Board (WIB)	
DATE:	January 15, 2015		

on January 5, 2015.

This budget was reviewed and recommended for approval by the Finance Committee

rollover funding.

Budget Narrative

Schedule 1 Schedule of Funding Sources & Expenditures: Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2014/2015 vs Revised Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2014/2015.

Funding Sources:

Adjustments reflected in Note for Schedule 2

Expenditures:

Spending plan has been adjusted in coordination will all member County's to better meet performance requirements, while delivering services in the most effective manner.

Contingency:

Reflects strategy to spend PY 2014 Administration funding in second year of award. Agency program administration has been increased based on net changes of expenditures.

Schedule 2 Funding Sources: Detail of Actual funding sources and Carryover.

Actual Allocation awards for PY 2014 have been adjusted

Actual Awards	PY 2014	Revised PY 2014	Percent Change
Adult	\$ 1,114,983	\$ 1,114,408	-0.05%
Dislocated Worker	\$ 1,378,183	\$ 1,377,526	-0.05%
Youth	\$ 1,139,435	\$ 1,139,435	0.00%
	\$ 3,632,601	\$ 3,631,369	-0.03%
			
Actual Awards	PY 2014	Revised PY 2014	Percent Change
Actual Awards Rapid Response	PY 2014 \$ 292,049	Revised PY 2014 \$ 291,910	Percent Change -0.05%
Rapid Response	\$ 292,049	\$ 291,910	-0.05%
Rapid Response	\$ 292,049	\$ 291,910	-0.05%

Schedule provides the most current information at the time of this presentation.

Approved by:

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Budget Narrative

Schedule 3 Operating Expenditures:

Schedule provides details for specific line item spending changes from Final Budget to Revised Final Budget.

Schedule 4 <u>Detail of Subcontracted Services</u>

Schedule provides detail of contracts awarded for both Agency Administration and each member couty's level managed within th Agency's accounting system. Schedule separated by funding source for each contract awarded.

Source of Funding: Allocation Program Year 2013 2014

Type of Contract: 1) Agency Awarded 2) Placer County Client Services

Schedule 5 Funding Allocation by Member County

Schedule utilizes all the same percentage break out as was presented in Final Budget.

The change here is due to changes in awarded funding.

From the Adult & Dislocated Worker awards 15% will be directly expended for Direct Training.

Percentage of participation for each member county is based on the Disaggregate Alloation provided by the Employment Development Department.

Schedule 5L Leverage Requirement by Member County

Schedule provides dollar amounts required to be leveraged resources in order to meet State imposed 25% Direct Training requirement.

Approved by:

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

	cal Year Ending 6/30/2015												
Pres	sented December 2014 - Revised Final Budget		Δ			В						C B	C/P
			<u>A</u>			<u>B</u>			<u>C</u>			<u>C-B</u>	<u>C/B</u>
L i n e			Fiscal Year 2013/2014 Actual	% of Total Funding		iscal Year 014/2015 Final	% of Total Funding	2	scal Year 014/2015 vised Final	% of Total Funding	20 to	scal Year 014/2015 Final Revised al Budget	Percent of Change from Final to Revised Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2014/2015
	Funding Sources:						1			1	ı		
1	Carry-In Allocation Funds from PY 13	\$	1,644,598		\$	2,110,965		\$	2,110,965		\$	-	0.00%
2	Actual PY 14/15 WIA Allocations		4,007,194			3,632,601			3,631,369			(1,232)	-0.03%
3	Actual Rapid Response Funds PY14		292,355			292,049			291,910			(139)	-0.05%
4	Carry-In Allocation Rapid Response from PY 13		69,038			110,897			110,897			-	0.00%
5	Layoff Aversion Funds PY14		-			41,724			72,802			31,078	74.48%
6	Special Funding - SETA NEG-OJT		50,000			50,000			7,000			(43,000)	-86.00%
7	Total Funding Sources	\$	6,063,185		\$	6,238,236		\$	6,224,943		\$	(13,293)	-0.21%
	Expenditures:												
	Agency & Placer County Operations:												
8	Retiree Benefits	\$	63,407	1.05%	\$	82,387	1.32%	\$	81,480	1.31%	-	(907)	-1.10%
9	Salaries and Benefits Services and Supplies		1,779,715 706,258	29.35% 11.65%		2,076,524 616,087	33.29% 9.88%		2,103,417 661,602	33.79% 10.63%		26,893 45,515	1.30% 7.39%
11	Agency & Placer County Operations Total	\$	2,549,380	42.05%	\$	2,774,998	44.48%		2,846,499	45.73%	_	71,501	2.58%
	r general control of the control of		_,,,,,,,,		*	_,,			_,- ,- ,- ,		1	1.,000	
	Contracted Services:												
12	Professional Services	\$	78,838	1.30%	\$	79,537	1.27%	\$	102,762	1.65%	\$	23,225	29.20%
13	Youth Services		175,001	2.89%		152,510	2.44%		152,510	2.45%		-	0.00%
14 15	Placer Client Direct Training & Intensive Secontracted Services Total	ervices \$	523,526	8.63% 12.82%	\$	529,162 761.209	8.48% 12.20%		514,162	8.26% 12.36%	_	(15,000)	-2.83%
15	Contracted Services Total	ą.	777,365	12.02%	Þ	761,209	12.20%	Þ	769,434	12.30%	ð	8,225	1.08%
	Member County One-Stop Operation Awards												
16	El Dorado County HHS	\$	1,414,878	23.34%	\$	1,714,370	27.48%	\$	1,606,219	25.80%	\$	(108,151)	-6.31%
17	Alpine County HHS		123,320	2.03%		157,008	2.52%		114,241	1.84%		(42,767)	-27.24%
18	Member County One-Stop Operation Awards Total	\$	1,538,198	25.37%	\$	1,871,378	30.00%	\$	1,720,460	27.64%	\$	(150,918)	-8.06%
19	Committee Budget	\$	8.245	0.14%	\$	15.000	0.24%	•	25,000	0.40%	•	10.000	66.67%
19	Committee Budget	a	0,245	0.14%	Þ	15,000	0.24%	Þ	25,000	0.40%	à	10,000	66.67%
20	Contingency Funds	\$	1,189,997	19.63%	\$	815,651	13.08%	\$	863,550	13.87%	\$	47,899	5.87%
_		·	,,			-,			-,		Ė	,	
								_					
21	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	6,063,185		\$	6,238,236		\$	6,224,943		\$	(13,293)	-0.21%

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Fisca	ing Sources Il Year Ending 6/30/2015 ented December 2014 - Revised Final Budg	jet							
			<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>	<u>c</u>		<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>
L i								PY 2013/2014	
n e			Agency 2014/2015 Actual	F	Agency PY 2013/2014	Agency PY 2013/2014		Carry-In Program Contracts	 vised Final ant Awards
#			Funding		Carry-In Program	Carry-In Admin		Rebudgeted	2014/2015
	WIA Formula Allocation		3		3	13.13.13.1			
1	Adult	201/202	\$ 1,114,408	\$	211,213	\$ 119,341	5	276,144	\$ 1,721,106
2	Adult Transferred from DW	499/500	-		200,885	20,088		-	220,973
3	Youth	301/302	1,139,435	\$	77,012	49,463	5	319,393	1,585,303
4	Dislocated Worker	501/502	1,377,526	\$	266,265	139,598	5	431,563	2,214,952
5	Special Funding - SETA NEG-OJT	343	-	\$	7,000	-	5	-	7,000
6	WIA Allocation Totals		\$ 3,631,369	\$	762,375	\$ 328,490	ş	1,027,100	\$ 5,749,334
	Rapid Response & Other Grants								
7	Layoff Aversion	292/293	\$ 72,802	\$	-	\$ -	5	-	\$ 72,802
8	Formula Rapid Response	540/541	291,910		75,489	-		35,408	402,807
9	Rapid Response & Other Grants Totals		\$ 364,712	\$	75,489	\$ -	\$	35,408	\$ 475,609
10	Total Grant Awards		\$ 3,996,081	\$	837,864	\$ 328,490	5	1,062,508	\$ 6,224,943

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Operation	g Expenditure Detail					1				
Fiscal Ye	ear Ending 6/30/2015									
Presente	ed December 2014 - Revised Final Budget			<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>		<u>C-A</u>	
L i n e	Description	Object Level 3	_	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Final	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Revision Amount	2	iscal Year 014/2015 Revised nal Budget	R	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Final to devised Final 2014/2015	Schedule 4 Reference for Fiscal Year 2014/2015
	Description									
	Placer & Agency Operational Costs									
	Retirement Benefits									
1	Retiree Health Care	1320	\$	70,291	\$ (907)	\$	69,384	\$	(907)	
2	Retiree Dental	1321		12,096	-		12,096		-	
3	Total Retirement Benefits:		\$	82,387	\$ (907)	\$	81,480	\$	(907)	
	Salaries and Benefits									
4	Salaries and Wages	1002	\$	1,309,904	\$ 14,150	\$	1,324,054	\$	14,150	
5	Extra Help	1003		12,246	(3,452)		8,794		(3,452)	
6	Overtime Salaries & Wages	1005		-	-		-		-	
7	P.E.R.S. Retirement	1300		485,037	4,935		489,972		4,935	
8	F.I.C.A Staff - Medicare & UI Benefits	1301		28,275	(1,000)		27,275		(1,000)	
9	Other Post Employment Benefits	1303		139,613	-		139,613		-	
10	Employee Group Ins.	1310		86,633	4,320		90,953		4,320	
11	Staff Workers Comp	1315		14,816	7,940		22,756		7,940	
12	Total Salaries and Benefits:		\$	2,076,524	\$ 26,893	\$	2,103,417	\$	26,893	
						1				
	Services and Supplies:					1				
13	Services and Supplies & Moving Expenses	2000	\$	30,000	\$ (30,000)	\$	-	\$	(30,000)	
14	Communications	2051		14,000	2,000		16,000		2,000	
15	Gen. Liability Insurance	2140		17,000	(1,000)	1	16,000		(1,000)	
16	Maintenance - Equipment	2290		35,000	8,815	1	43,815		8,815	Schedule 4 - A9
17	Maintenance Bldg	2405		2,500	2,000	1	4,500		2,000	
18	Maintenance - Janitorial	2406		2,000	-	1	2,000		-	
19	Dues, Subs & Memberships	2439		12,000	5,000	1	17,000		5,000	
20	Printing	2511		10,000	15,000	1	25,000		15,000	
21	One Stop & Performance Systems	2522		76,735	-		76,735		-	Schedule 4 - A2, A3, A4

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Oper	ating Expenditure Detail						
Fisca	l Year Ending 6/30/201 <i>5</i>						
Prese	ented December 2014 - Revised Final Budget		<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>C-A</u>	
L i n e		Object Level 3	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Final	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Revision Amount	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Revised Final Budget	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Final to Revised Final 2014/2015	Schedule 4 Reference for Fiscal Year 2014/2015
	Description						
22	Office Supplies	2456,2523	13,000	(1,000)	12,000	(1,000)	
23	Postage	2524	3,000	-	3,000	-	
24	Operating Materials	2534	3,000	5,000	8,000	5,000	
25	Audits, Actuarial & Other Services	2555	18,500	11,200	29,700	11,200	Schedule 4 - A5, A10, A11
26	Prof/Special Services, County	2556	24,000	2,000	26,000	2,000	
27	Legal Services	2561	34,336	-	34,336	-	Schedule 4 - A6
28	Advertising/Legal Notices	2701	5,000	-	5,000	-	
29	Rents & Leases - Equipment	2710	1,500	-	1,500	-	
30	Hazard Elimination & Safety	2724	1,000	-	1,000	-	
31	Rents & Leases - Buildings	2727	164,066	-	164,066	-	Schedule 4 - A7, A8
32	Small Equipment	2821	2,500	4,500	7,000	4,500	
33	Media Services/Outreach/Events	2829	51,000	10,000	61,000	10,000	Schedule 4 - A1
34	Training Staff	2844	12,500	11,000	23,500	11,000	
35	Travel & Transportation	2931	15,000	1,000	16,000	1,000	
36	Mileage	2932	10,000	-	10,000	-	
37	Meals/Food Purchases	2964	5,000	-	5,000	-	
38	Utilities	2965	15,450	-	15,450	-	
39	A-87 Costs	3551	38,000	-	38,000	-	
40	Capitalized Equipment	4451	-	_	_	_	
41	Total Services & Supplies:		\$ 616,087	\$ 45,515	\$ 661,602	\$ 45,515	
				,,,,,,,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Fisca	ating Expenditure Detail al Year Ending 6/30/2015 ented December 2014 - Revised Final Budget		A	<u>B</u>	<u>c</u>	C-A	
L i n e		Object Level 3	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Final	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Revision Amount	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Revised Final Budget	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Final to Revised Final 2014/2015	Schedule 4 Reference for Fiscal Year 2014/2015
	Description						
	Subcontracted Services						
	Program Year 2013/2014						
47	Professional Services	2555	13,343	-	13,343	-	Schedule 4 - B6
48	Youth Services Placer County	2555	62,510	-	62,510	-	Schedule 4 - B5
49	Core Workshops Placer County	2555	-	-	-		
50	El Dorado County One-Stop	2555	783,172	(65,000)	718,172	(65,000)	Schedule 4 - B1, B2
51	Alpine County One-Stop	2555	60,721	-	60,721	-	Schedule 4 - B3, B4
	Program Year 2014/2015						
52	Professional Services	2555	41,194	23,225	64,419	23,225	Schedule 4 - C1, C12
53	Youth Services Placer County	2555	90,000	-	90,000	-	Schedule 4 - C3
54	Core Workshops Placer County	2555	25,000	-	25,000	-	Schedule 4 - C2
55	El Dorado County One-Stop	2555	931,198	(43,151)	888,047	(43,151)	Schedule 4 - C4, C5, C6
56	Alpine County One-Stop	2555	96,287	(42,767)	53,520	(42,767)	Schedule 4 - C7, C8, C9
57	Total Subcontracted Services		\$ 2,103,425	\$ (127,693)	\$ 1,975,732	\$ (127,693)	

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Oper	ating Expenditure Detail									
	ating Expenditure Detail									
Pres	ented December 2014 - Revised Final Budget			Α	В		С		C-A	
					<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u>U-A</u>	
L i n e		Object Level 3	_	iscal Year 1014/2015 Final	Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Revision Amount	2	iscal Year 014/2015 Revised nal Budget	20 Rev	iscal Year 014/2015 Final to rised Final 014/2015	Schedule 4 Reference for Fiscal Year 2014/2015
	Description									
	Placer County Client Direct									
	Program Year 2012/2013 Direct Training									
58	G. S. IR/ITA /OJTContracts	2841	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
	Program Year 2013/2014 Direct Training									
59	G. S. IR/ITA /OJTContracts	2841		182,357	(43,000)		139,357		(43,000)	Schedule 4 - D3, D4,D5
	Program Year 2014/2015 Direct Training									
60	G. S. IR/ITA /OJTContracts	2841		241,864	-		241,864		-	Schedule 4 - E1
	Program Year 2012/2013 Intensive Services									
61	Golden Sierra WEX Payable Wages	1015/1565		-	-		-		-	
	Program Year 2013/2014 Intensive Services									
62	Golden Sierra WEX	1015/1565		23,941	18,000		41,941		18,000	Schedule 4 - D1, D2
63	Client Supportive Services	2840		-	-		-		-	
	Program Year 2014/2015 Intensive Services									
64	Golden Sierra WEX	1015/1565		41,000	-		41,000		-	Schedule 4 - E2
65	Client Supportive Services	2840		40,000	10,000		50,000		10,000	Schedule 4 - E3
	Total Placer County Client Direct		\$	529,162	\$ (15,000)	\$	514,162	\$	(15,000)	
66	Committee Expenses	2935	\$	15,000	\$ 10,000	\$	25,000	\$	10,000	
67	Administration Second Year Funding	5600	\$	363,260	\$ -	\$	363,260	\$	-	
68	Program Operations Second Year Funding	5600	\$	452,391	\$ 47,899	\$	500,290	\$	47,899	
69	Undistributed PY 2014/2015 Potential Sequestration	5600	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
70	Total Expenditures		\$	6,238,236	\$ (13,293)	\$	6,224,943	\$	(13,293)	

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Sub	contracted Services														
	al Year Ending 6/30/2015					Di	slocated								
Pres	ented December 2014 - Revised Final Budget					,	Worker		Dislocated		Rapid	Other	Funding &		
			A -114		V 41-										TOTAL
_	December Versitation Applications and Add Affection Live Co. 40 Octobrilla Co.		Adult		Youth	Irans	sfer to Adult		Worker		Response	Layo	ff Aversion		TOTAL
Α	Program Year 13/14 Rebudget &14/15 - New Line 22-42 Schedule 3	•	40.000		40.500			_	40.000		0.500		4.000	•	40.000
1	EMRL - Outreach Contracted Services Future Works	\$	12,000	\$	12,500	\$	- 4 000	\$	12,000	\$	2,500 870	\$	1,000	\$	40,000
2	EMSI		4,875		5,025		1,000		5,730		16,000		2,750		17,500
3	Geographic Solutions (Case Management System)		13,495		13,495				13,495		10,000		2,750		18,750 40,485
5	Financial Audit		5,500		5,700				5,640		1,660		-		18,500
6	Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiiedemann & Girard		8,584	ļ	8.584		8,584		7,584		1,000		-		34,336
7	State of California Employment Development Department (Roseville Rent)		22,430	ļ	22,731		0,004		29,769		4,520				79,450
8	Duff Brothers (Auburn Rent)		23,558		24,690		_		31,649		4,719		_		84,616
9	Chivalry IT Services		10,000		10,000		10,000		10,980		-1,710		_		40,980
10	Roth Staffing Companies LP		1,750		1,750		1,750		1.750		_		_		7.000
11	David Irons (ADA Compliance Inspection)		1,050		1,050		1,050		1,050		_		_		4,200
	Agency Operations - Contracted Services Total	\$	103,242	\$	105,525	\$	22,384	\$	119,647	\$	31,269	\$	3,750	\$	385,817
_	Duranes Versi 40/44 Liver 44/40 Och edule 0 Dehadasted									Ė					
В	Program Year 13/14 - Lines 44-46 Schedule 3 Rebudgeted	Ф.	404.444	•	445.005	•	105.000	•	105.000		11.000	œ.		•	E00.010
2	El Dorado County One-Stop	\$	134,141	Ъ	145,937	Þ	105,632 61,394	\$	105,632 51,277	\$	41,298	Þ	-	\$	532,640
3	El Dorado County Direct Training Alpine County One-Stop		72,861 1,253		2,481		30,666		21,085				-		185,532 55,485
4	Alpine County Direct Training		2,043		2,401		3,193		21,000				-		5,236
5	Placer County Youth Pride Industries		2,043		62.510		3,193								62.510
6	Placer County Business Assistance (Various Providers)				62,510						13,343		-		13.343
7	Youth Contract Expired - Funding Transferred back to Agency				65,000						10,040				65,000
	Toutil Contract Expired - Funding Transferred back to Agency		<u>_</u>		05,000				_						03,000
С	Program Year 14/15 - Lines 47-56 Schedule 3														
1	Mike Indiveri (WIA Agency Consultant)	\$	2,750	•	2,850	œ	1,910	\$	1,910	\$	580	œ.	-	\$	10,000
2	Placer County Core Services (Vendor TBD)	Ψ	15.000	Ψ	3.000	Ψ	3,500	Ψ	3,500	Ψ	300	Ψ		Ψ	25,000
3	Placer County Youth (Vendor TBD)		-		90,000						-		-		90,000
4	El Dorado County Youth Contracted Services		_		258,130		_		_		_		_		258,130
5	El Dorado County One-Stop		187,090		-		115,845		115.844		72,767		_		491.546
6	El Dorado County Direct Training		61.882		-		38.245		38.244		-		_		138.371
7	Alpine County Youth Contracted Services		-		7,378		-		-		-		-		7,378
8	Alpine County One-Stop		5,547		-		3,428		3,428		30,000		-		42,403
9	Alpine County Direct Training		1,672		-		1,034		1,033		-		-		3,739
10	Consortium Layoff Aversion		-		-		-		-		-		54,419		54,419
11	El Dorado County - Agency to pay participant invoices C5 reduced		24,750				15,400		14,850						55,000
12	Alpine County - Reduction to meet service priorities. C8 reduced		-		-		-		-		42,767		-		42,767
	Agency Program - Subcontracted Services Total	\$	508,989	\$	637,286	\$	380,247	\$	356,803	\$	200,755	\$	54,419	\$	2,138,499
	Placer County														
D	Program Year 13/14 - Lines 53-66 Schedule 3	-													
1	Placer County Client WEX Training (Intensive) Rebudgeted	\$	7,375	•	30,110	e		¢		\$	_	\$	_	\$	37,485
2	Placer County Client WEX Training (Intensive) Rebudgeted Placer County Client WEX Training (Intensive) Remaining Funding	φ	1,315	φ	4,456	φ		φ		φ		φ	-	φ	4,456
3	Placer County Client ITA, IR's & OJT Training Contracts Rebudgeted	-	9,239		4,450				13,240		18,314		-		4,450
4	Placer County Client ITA, IR's & OUT Training Contracts Remaining Funding	-	30,519						61,045		10,014				91,564
5	SETA NEGOJT Training Contracts					1							7.000		7,000
													.,000		.,000
Е	Program Year 14/15 - Lines 64-65 Schedule 3														
1	Placer County Client ITA, IR's & OJT Training Contracts	\$	103,693	\$	10,000	s	64,085	\$	64,086	\$	-	\$	-	\$	241,864
2	Placer County Client WEX Training (Intensive)	Ť	15,000	Ť	26,000	Ť		Ť		"	_	*	_	*	41,000
3	Placer County Client Supportive Services (Intensive)		5,000		40,000	1	2,500		2,500		_		_		50,000
	Placer County Total	\$	170,826	\$	110,566	\$	66,585	\$	140,871	\$	18,314	\$	7,000	\$	514,162
		_			,										•
	Agency Program Contracted Services Total	\$	679,815	\$	747,852		446,832	\$	497,674		219,069		61,419		2,652,661
	Contracted Services - Administration & Program Total	\$	783,057	\$	853,377	\$	469,216	\$	617,321	\$	250,338	\$	65,169	\$	3,038,478

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Funding Allocation by County													
WIA Formula Funds				1		Fun	ding Categorie	s					
Program Year Ending 2015													
Presented December 2014 - Revised Fina	l Budget												
		Bon	id Response	Lov	off Aversion	1	Youth		Adult	Die	located Worker		
		кар	Actual	Lay	Actual		Actual		Actual	DIS	Actual		Total
Funding Awards Actual		\$	291,910	\$	72,802	\$	1,139,435	\$	1,114,408	\$	1,377,526	\$	3,996,081
i diidiiig Awards Actual		Ψ	291,910	Ψ	72,002	Ψ	1,109,400	Ψ	1,114,400	Ψ	1,377,320	Ψ	3,990,001
Agency Operations													
Administration	10.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	113,944	\$	111,441	\$	137,753	\$	363,138
Committee's/Legacy /Operations	25.25%	\$	73,707	\$	18,383	\$	287,707	\$	281,388	\$	347,825	\$	1,009,010
communication of Logardy Populations	20.2070	Ť	. 0,. 0.	Ť	.0,000	Ť	201,101		201,000		011,020	—	.,000,010
Agency Operation's Totals		\$	73,707	\$	18,383	\$	401,651	\$	392,829	\$	485,578	\$	1,372,148
<u> </u>			-, -	,	-,	<u> </u>	,,,,	<u> </u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	†	,-		
Award less Agency Operations		\$	218,203	\$	54,419	\$	737,784	\$	721,579	\$	891,948	\$	2,623,933
<u> </u>			·				·		·				
Required Direct Training	15.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	167,161	\$	206,629	\$	373,790
Allocation for Core & Intensive Services		\$	218,203	\$	54,419	\$	737,784	\$	554,418	\$	685,319	\$	2,250,143
			,		,		,		,		,		
												·	
					Adult &	Dislo	cated Worker	Alloc	ation				
Core & Intensive Services													-
Placer	62.00%	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	343,739	\$	424,898	\$	768,637
El Dorado	37.00%	\$		\$		\$		\$	205,135	\$	253,568	\$	458,703
			-		-		-						
Alpine	1.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,544	\$	6,853	\$	12,397
Direct Training													
Placer	62.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	103,640	\$	128,111	\$	231,751
El Dorado	37.00% 1.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	61,850 1,672	\$	76,453 2,066	\$	138,303
Alpine	1.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,672	\$	2,066	\$	3,738
				1		Vo	outh Allocation						
D.	00.000/	_						•		_		•	457.400
Placer	62.00% 37.00%	\$ \$	-	\$	=	\$	457,426	\$	=	\$	-	\$	457,426
El Dorado Alpine	1.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	272,980 7,378	\$	-	\$	-	\$	272,980 7,378
Aipine	1.00 /6	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	7,370	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	7,370
					Ra	pid R	Response Alloc	ation					
Discour	22 22250/	Ф.	70 707	•		•				•		•	00.070
Placer	33.3325%	\$	72,737	\$	18,139	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	90,876
	33.3325%	\$	72,733	\$	18,139	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	90,872
Alpine	33.3325%	\$	72,733	\$	18,139	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	90,872
					•								
ì			70 70-	•			ons by County			•	550 000	•	4 5 40 000
Placer		\$	72,737	\$	18,139	\$	457,426	\$	447,379	\$	553,009	\$	1,548,690
Approved by: El Dorado Approved by: Alpine		\$ \$	72,733 72,733	\$	18,139 18,139	\$	272,980 7,378	\$ \$	266,985 7,216	\$	330,021 8,919	\$	960,858 114,385
		Ф	12,133	Ф	10,139	Ф	1,310	Ф	1,210	Ф	0,919	Ф	114,300
Finance Committee: 2015-01-05		+								Ch	neck Figure	\$	3,996,081
WIB					da Packet - Pa					CI	ICCK FIGURE	φ	3,550,061

Schedule for Leverage Requirements							
Direct Training Compliance							
Presented December 2014 - Revised Final Budget							
1 tesetted December 2014 - Newsea Filiai Dauget							
			Funding	Cate	gories		
			Adult	Diele	ocated Worker		
			Adult Actual	DISIC	Actual		Total
Funding Awards PY 2014/2015		\$	1,114,408	\$		\$	
Fullding Awards F1 2014/2015)	1,114,400	Ф	1,377,526	Ф	2,491,934
Direct Training Requirement*	25.00%	\$	278,602	\$	344,382	\$	622,983
Requirement met via:							
Program Year 2014/2015 WIA Cash	15.00%	\$	167,161	\$	206,629	\$	373,790
Program Year 2014/2015 Planned Leverage	10.00%	\$	111,441	\$	137,753	\$	249,193
r rogram roan 201 // 2010 r hannoù 2010 age	1010070	—	,	T	101,100	Ψ	210,100
		Adult	& Dislocated W	orker	<u>Distribution</u>		
15% WIA Cash Award							
Placer	62.00%	\$	103,640	\$	128,110	\$	231,750
El Dorado	37.00%		61,850		76,453		138,303
Alpine	1.00%		1,671		2,066		3,737
10% Leveraged Funds							
Placer	62.00%	\$	69,093	\$	85,406	\$	154,499
El Dorado	37.00%	1	41,233		50,969	T	92,202
Alpine	1.00%		1,114		1,378		2,492
Total Required Direct Training (Check Figure)		\$	278,601	\$	344,382	\$	622,983
		44.5					
* Employment Development Department Workforce Service							
WIA Training Expenditure Requirements as imposed by Se	enate Bill (SB)734.					

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Golden Sierra Workforce Investment Board

MEMORANDUM

	☐ Resolution		☐ Information		
SUBJ:	Prop 39 14/15 Revise	ed – Final Budget			
FROM:	Jason Buckingham,	GSJTA Executive D	irector		
TO:	Workforce Investment Board (WIB)				
DATE:	January 15, 2015				

Attached is a Fiscal Year 2014/2015 revised final budget. The budget reflects the spending plan for the Capital Region MC3 grant award.

This budget was reviewed and recommended for approval by the Finance Committee on January 5, 2015.

Budget Narrative for Proposition 39 Capital Region MC3 Award For Fiscal Year 2014/2015

Schedule 1 Schedule of Funding Sources & Expenditures

Schedule shows in summary planned expenditures for FY 2014/2015. The Prop 39 award period is 6/1/2014 thru 12/31/2015. Plan is to expend all funding in current fiscal year.

MC3 Training Services have been awarded to Sierra College.
20 eligible Conservation CCC participants will receive 120 hours of pre-apprenticeship training.

Columns

- A Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Final Budget
- B Budget Revision
- C Revised Final Budget
 - Case management staff will be funded with both Prop 39 and WIA Funding
 - Increased cost of pre-apprenticeship training.
 - Increased amount of Supportive Services.

Approved by:

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Proposition 39 Budget Summary Revised 12/31/2014 For the Fiscal Year Ending 6/30/2015

			<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>	
L i n e		<u>20</u>	scal Year 014/2015 al Budget	<u>20</u>	scal Year 014/2015 Budget evisions	<u>2(</u>	scal Year 014/2015 Revised al Budget	% of Total Funding
1	Funding Sources:							
2	Total Funding Sources	\$ \$	104,675 104,675	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	104,675 104,675	
	Expenditures:							
	Operations:							
3	Salaries and Benefits	\$	53,879	\$	(22,463)	\$	31,416	30.01%
4	Services and Supplies		11,837		41		11,878	11.35%
5	Total Operating Expenses		65,716	\$	(22,422)	\$	43,294	62.78%
6	Supportive Services	\$	8,800	\$	5,000	\$	13,800	13.18%
7	Contracted MC3 Training Services		30,159		17,422		47,581	45.46%
8	Total Contracted Services	\$	38,959	\$	22,422	\$	61,381	58.64%
9	Second & Third Year Funding							0.00%
10	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$	104,675	\$		\$	104,675	
	Net Income/(Loss)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	

Approved by:

Finance Committee: 2015-01-05

WIB

Golden Sierra Workforce Investment Board

MEMORANDUM

·	·	·			
	Resolution		☐ Information		
SUBJ:	DEI Round 2 Final	Budget			
FROM:	Jason Buckingham	, GSJTA Executive	Director		
TO:	Workforce Investment Board (WIB)				
DATE:	January 15, 2015				

Attached is a Fiscal Year 2014/2015 final budget. This budget reflects spending plan for award in Fiscal Year 2014/2015 with planned carry over to support DEI Round 2 activities up to March 2018.

This is a new award and was not presented during the normal process of approving Final Budgets.

This budget was reviewed and recommended for approval by the Finance Committee on January 5, 2015.

Budget Narrative for Disability Employment Initiative Round 2 For Fiscal Year 2014/2015

Schedule 1 Schedule of Funding Sources & Expenditures

Schedule shows in summary planned expenditures for FY 2014/2015. The Disability Employment Initiative Round 2 award period is 10/1/2014 thru 3/31/2018.

Columns

A Final Budget

- Case management staff the Agency's Disability Resource Coordinator (DRC) will be funded with WIA Funding
- Expenditures to be charged are the travels costs to attend quarterly meetings and assist Round 2 awardees.

Approved by: :]bUbWY7 ca a]HYY. 8\$% !\$%\$) WIB Governing Board

Disability Employment Initiative Round 2 Budget Summary 12/31/2014 For the Fiscal Year Ending 6/30/2015

5 Future Years Available Funding

6 TOTAL EXPENDITURES

Net Income/(Loss)

L i n e	Euralina Courses	<u>Fiscal Yea</u> 2014/2015 <u>Final Budg</u>	% of Total
1	Funding Sources:		
2	Total Funding Sources	\$ 7,00 \$ 7,00	
	Expenditures:		
	Operations:		
3	Services and Supplies	1,25	50
4	Total Operating Expenses	\$ 1,25	17.86%

<u>A</u>

5,750

7,000

\$

82.14%

Approved by: :]bUbWY7ca a]HYY. 8\$%) !\$%\$) WIB



WIOA Action Matrix - Draft

Action	Comments	Responsibility			
	Action Item = Green	WIB	_	State Board/	Status
			Body /CLEO	Governor	
	<u>Establish I</u>	Local Area	<u> </u>		
Revise JPA to reference			Χ		
WIOA					
Request Designation as	The Governor is required to	Χ	Χ	X	Approved by WIB
Local Area	designate (initially) local				11/20/14
	areas who have performed				Approved by GB
	successfully and who have				12/15/14
	had fiscal integrity for the				Mailed 12/21/15
	previous two years. Golden				
	Sierra meets these criteria.				
	No process has been defined				
	but I recommend the WIB, in				
	conjunction with the				
	Governing Body, submit a				
	letter requesting designation.				
Request approval to	According to WIOA each local	Х	Χ	X	Approved by WIB
remain one-stop	area is required to have one				11/20/14
operator	One-Stop (at a minimum) and				Approved by GB
	that One-Stop must be				12/15/14
	collocated with EDD staff.				Mailed 12/21/15
	Currently, the Golden Sierra				
	region has five centers only				
	one of which is collocated				
	with EDD (Roseville). The				
	designation would eliminate				
	our requirement to				
	competitively procure the				
	operator for the region. This				
	is the most cost effective and				
	efficient scenario.				

Action	Comments	Responsibility			
	Action Item = Green	WIB		State Board/	Status
		-	Body /CLEO	Governor	
	Workforce Deve	elopment	t Board		
Governance					
Review WIB Functions for	WIB (ADA accessibility	Χ			
gaps/opportunities	policies)				
Review Bylaws	Review bylaws for	Χ	Х		
	consistency with				
	responsibilities and functions of WDB				
Re-write WIB/Governing	Review current agreement	Х	X		
Body Agreement	for consistency with WIOA	^			
Set Qualifications for	The WDB will need to set	Х	Х		
Director	qualifications for the				
	Director. Will probably need				
	to wait for regulations to do				
D : 1445 D: ::	SO.				
Review WIB Directives	Current WIB Directives will	Х			
	need to be reviewed and revised or eliminated for				
	accuracy within the new				
	system.				
Membership					
Review WIB membership	Is membership in line with	Х	Х		Reviewing –
for compliance and	key sectors and new				Pending regs.
strategic direction	requirements?				
Structure					
Review Committee	The WIB should review its	Х			
Structure	committee structure to				
	ensure that it can meet its				
	new role. No youth council is				
	required, new partners included in performance				
	(performance committee),				
	New business engagement				
	metrics, new emphasis on				
	pathways and pathway				
	development, Education and				
	economic development				
	continuous improvement,				
	accessibilityJoint mtgs with				
	SETA? (See initiatives)				

Action	Comments	F	Responsibilit	у	
	Action Item = Green	WIB	Governing	State Board/	Status
			Body /CLEO	Governor	
	Workforce Developm	ent Board	d (continu	ed)	
Planning/Service Del	ivery				
Convene Core Partners to discuss the design of the future system and MOUs	Executive Committee should convene leaders from DOR, EDD, Adult ED to discuss partnering in the new system, MOU's and Cost Sharing Agreements.	Х			Email intro CWA WIOA Overview – 9/23 Letter intro WIOA 10/20
Meet with SETA to Consider Joint Committees and policies with SETA and CAIZ group	WIOA will nearly require a regional planning effort. We may want to have our subcommittees start meeting jointly with SETA	Х			Attending SETA Planning Committee late January.
Review One-Stop locations and service delivery model	Review service delivery. Should all current locations remain one-stops or should we target special projects in certain areas. Should we open population-specific job centers? Other LWIAs have centers that focus on youth only or business only or sectors. Should we reduce the number of physical centers and set-up remote access instead (the community colleges would be a likely host). (Recommendations to GB)	X	X		
Publish RFQ for vender services	Establishing a vendor list for services will greatly enhance our ability to provider services without a full RFP process for each service	Х			Working with staff to define services – anticipate release 1/16/15
Publish RFQ for Training Services and/or One-Stops	Prepare for competitive bid requirement by obtaining lists of qualified/interested sub-recipients	X			Pending regs.
Technology	How does the WDB want to address the new emphasis on Technology				
Branding	Consider adopting unified Identifier	Х	Х		
Accessibility	How will we address accessibility				
LMID	How will we ensure we have proper data for planning – Contract that out				

Action	Comments	F	Responsibility		
	Action Item = Green	WIB	Governing S	tate Board/	Status
			Body /CLEO	Governor	
	Workforce Developm	ent Board	d (continue	d)	
Performance					
Metrix	Review and identify	Х			
	performance metrix for WIA				
	services or initiatives (i.e.				
	expenditures related to OJT				
	vs classroom training?)				
	Training in critical clusters?				
	Pathway development,				
	apprenticeship enrollment –				
	Business engagement etc.				
Initiatives	Brand Initiatives i.e. NEXT,	Χ			
	Vital Assets, Youth@Work				
	etc maybe reduce				
	committees but have ad hocs				
	that manage the initiatives?				

Golden Sierra Workforce Investment Board

MEMORANDUM

DATE	: January 15, 2015				
TO:	Workforce Investment Board (WIB)				
FRO	l: Jason Buckingham, GSJTA Executive Director				
SUB	: WIA Service Provider Performance				
	☐ Resolution ☐ Action Item ☐ Information				
	Ittached quarterly information on service provider performance is supplied as sted to assist the board in compliance with WIA 117(d)(2) and WIB Bylaws 2.20(d).				
Work	orce Investment Act – final law				
Section	n 117: Local Workforce Investment Boards				
 (2) Selection of operators and providers (A) Selection of one-stop operatorsConsistent with section 121(d), the local board, with the agreement of the chief elected official (i) shall designate or certify one-stop operators as described in section 121(d)(2)(A); and (ii) may terminate for cause the eligibility of such operators. 					
WIB I	ylaws 2.20(d):				
2.20	It shall be the duty of the WIB to do all of the following:				



Consortium Outcomes Report Quarter 1 PY14-15 July - September 2014

	Adult Entered Employment	Adult Retention	Adult Avg Earnings
Goal	70.5%	82%	\$17,500
Outcome Achieved	92.9%	87%	\$18,145
	DW Entered Employment	DW Retention	DW Avg Earnings
Goal	72%	84.5%	\$18,950
Outcome Achieved	91.7%	94%	\$32,458
	Youth Placement	Youth Degree	Literacy Numeracy
Goal	63.5%	64%	48%
Outcome Achieved	50%	61.5%	50%

Outcome achieved color coding is standard:

<80%	Fail
80-100%	Meet
>100%	Exceed



Roseville			
	Adult Entered Employment	Adult Retention	Adult Avg Earnings
Goal	70.5%	82%	\$17,500
Outcome Achieved	93.7%	93.3%	\$19,527
	DW Entered Employment	DW Retention	DW Avg Earnings
Goal	72%	84.5%	\$18,950
Outcome Achieved	91.7%	92.8%	\$33,408
	Youth Placement	Youth Degree	Literacy Numeracy
Goal	63.5%	64%	48%
Outcome Achieved	66.6%	66.6%	na

Auburn			
	Adult Entered Employment	Adult Retention	Adult Avg Earnings
Goal	70.5%	82%	\$17,500
Outcome Achieved	100%	na	na
	DW Entered Employment	DW Retention	DW Avg Earnings
Goal	72%	84.5%	\$18,950
Outcome Achieved	na	na	na
		V 11.5	
	Youth Placement	Youth Degree	Literacy Numeracy
Goal	63.5%	64%	48%
Outcome Achieved	na	na	50%

Pride			
	Youth Placement	Youth Degree	Literacy Numeracy
Goal	63.5%	64%	48%
Outcome Achieved	55.5%	66.6%	22%

Outcome achieved color coding is standard:
<80% Fail
80-100% Meet

>100%



Placerville			
Goal	70.5%	82%	\$17,500
Outcome Achieved	80%	85.7%	\$14,700
	DW Entered Employment	DW Retention	DW Avg Earnings
Goal	72%	84.5%	\$18,950
Outcome Achieved	na	100%	\$21,057
	Youth Placement	Youth Degree	Literacy Numeracy
Goal	63.5%	64%	48%
Outcome Achieved	0%	na	100%

	South Lake Taho	oe .	
	Adult Entered Employment	Adult Retention	Adult Avg Earnings
Goal	70.5%	82%	\$17,500
Outcome Achieved	100%	100%	\$16,028
	DW Entered Employment	DW Retention	DW Avg Earnings
Goal	72%	84.5%	\$18,950
Outcome Achieved	na	100%	na
	Youth Placement	Youth Degree	Literacy Numeracy
Goal	63.5%	64%	48%
Outcome Achieved	na	na	na

Outcome achieved color coding is standard:

<80% Fail 80-100% Meet >100% Exceed



	Alpine		
Goal	70.5%	82%	\$17,500
Outcome Achieved	100%	na	na
	DW Entered Employment	DW Retention	DW Avg Earnings
Goal	72%	84.5%	\$18,950
Outcome Achieved	na	na	na
	Youth Placement	Youth Degree	Literacy Numeracy
Goal	63.5%	64%	48%
Outcome Achieved	0%	0%	na

Outcome achieved color coding is standard:

<80%	Fail
80-100%	Meet
>100%	Exceed

Project SlingShot: Entrepreneurship and Small Business Innovation Ecosystem Project

What is the issue we want to solve?

The Capital region's recovery from the recession has been slow, relative to other regions our size. Small business and start-ups serve as major job engines accounting for 60-85% of new employment in the region. However, our region lacks infrastructure for start-up companies – in a 2013 study by Thumbtack and Kaufman Foundation of small business friendliness, Sacramento was one of 4 big cities in the US to receive a failing grade.

Our project will grow jobs and support economic mobility by creating the conditions for entrepreneurs, start-up companies and small businesses to thrive in the Capital region.

We will support a robust regional economy that will foster job growth through creating an ecosystem of services and resources for entrepreneurs, start-ups and small businesses. We will catalyze entrepreneurship, business growth and employment growth in areas that have been identified as cluster opportunity areas for our regional economy. In doing so, we will include outreach to targeted populations, like long term unemployed and youth, so that there is a broad based approach to fostering entrepreneurship and skills development in the region. Our project will be aligned with creating an innovation economy and creating the strategies and assets for a vibrant entrepreneurial ecosystem that drives this economy.

How do we get there?

Since August of 2011, the Capital region has supported innovation and job creation through the shared prosperity plan, Next Economy, which focuses on six key business clusters that demonstrated growth potential. The SlingShot project will focus on areas that offer employment, entrepreneurial, and skills building opportunities. As Next Economy moves into implementation, this project will be a valuable asset to help define and advance the innovation ecosystem and connect entrepreneurism opportunities within the clusters. The project will be regionally inclusive by incorporating Next Economy and other business growth clusters throughout the entire 9-county

Coalition stakeholders

Golden Sierra WIB, North Central Counties Consortium, Sacramento Employment and Training Agency/Sacramento Works, Inc., Yolo County WIB, Beutler Corporation, California Capital, CA Indian Manpower Consortium, CA School Employee Association, Center for Land-Based Learning, City of Davis, City of Woodland, City of Sacramento, Colusa LAFCO, Davis Roots, Hacker Lab, Los Rios Community College District, Next-Ed, Package One, Placer County, SACTO, SARTA, Sacramento Asian Pacific Chamber of Commerce. Sacramento County Office of Education, Sacramento Metro Chamber of Commerce, SHRA, SMUD, Sacramento Veterans Resource Center, Sustainable Ag Tech Innovation Center (UCD), Sutter Health, Sacramento Sierra Region, Valley Vision, Velocity Venture Capital, Women's Business Center, Yuba-Sutter EDC, Yuba County

Geographic Scope

Alpine, Colusa, El Dorado, Glenn, Placer, Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, Yuba counties region. The project will foster development of entrepreneurial skills within career pathways and provide robust resource systems to start-ups and small businesses. As a result, this will support the creation of a talent workforce, business growth and job opportunities for youth, long term unemployed, economically disadvantaged and others, in turn contributing to regional income mobility.

Our Goals and Strategies

Our overarching regional, community and economic goals are to:

- Better understand and organize an effective system of resources to identify, train and support start-ups and small businesses, as well as entrepreneurism skills building
- Increase business incubation and mentoring, and foster an entrepreneurial culture
- Catalyze an innovation ecosystem that will in time create more business that will hire employees
 through the regional employment and training system, and create a talent pool so that new jobs
 will go to residents, supporting a resilient economy with widespread mobility opportunities.

Our multi-pronged strategies to achieve these goals are to:

- Identify and map entrepreneurial and small business resources and gaps and make these resources accessible and available to various targeted audiences across the region
- Identify best practices and models across the country
- Facilitate a more cohesive and responsive business support network among existing and emerging resources, including linkage with career pathways and career academies
- Create catalytic events to utilize and showcase resources such as region-wide business competitions and Hack-a-Thons
- Expand the capacity, number and types of entrepreneurial academies, business accelerators and incubators to support an increased number of start-ups, including financing models
- Develop and expand mentoring and support groups and approaches, including peer-based activities, incubators, co-working spaces and other creative programs
- Leverage state and federal agencies, foundations and other organizations to both invest in and support entrepreneurs and small businesses throughout the region
- Identify a potential set of ready projects that could scale up for regional impact.

Metrics

We will develop metrics in the following areas to track and report on progress that creates a regional culture of entrepreneurship, better connection of entrepreneurs and start-ups to resources, and an effective innovation ecosystem, and builds a talent pool for growing industries:

- Creation of an inventory of resources, gaps, gaps filled and best practices
- Increase in the number of mentorships and apprenticeships
- Increase in the number/impact of established entrepreneurial academies, business accelerator programs and incubators to support an increased number of start-ups
- Increase in the number of start-ups, small businesses and job growth in regional business clusters that fit and help develop the skills/talents of the workforce.